UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/573,846	10/31/2006	Mikel Morvan	60838.000530	4203	
	21967 7590 12/15/2008 HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP				
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			SHAAK, LYNDSEY		
1900 K STREE SUITE 1200	1, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	VASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109		4121		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/15/2008	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/573,846	MORVAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	LYNDSEY SHAAK	4121				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	<b>N.</b> nely filed the mailing date of this co				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	- action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x <i>parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-34</u> is/are pending in the application	1					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>18-34</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	īО-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/573,846 Page 2

Art Unit: 4121

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This application is a 371 (national stage application) of PCT/FR2004/002461, which claims priority to provisional application 60/506,788.

Claims 18-34 are pending.

## Election of Species

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- a) Type of Emulsion (e.g. direct emulsion, inverse emulsion, etc.): The Emulsion type of species is recited by claims 21-24.
- b) Core compound with groups A, R, B & r: Each compound species of formula (I) recited by claims 26-29.
- c) Core compound with groups A', R' & B': Each compound species of formula (II) recited by claims 27-29.
- d) Core Monomer with groups R<sup>1</sup>, B" & n: Each compound species of formula (III) recited by claims 27-29.
- e) Chain Limiting monomer with groups A" & R<sup>2</sup>: Each compound species of formula (IV) recited by claims 27-29.
- f) Other Chain Limiting monomer: The compound of this species is recited in claim 29.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Specifically, as to claim 21, Applicant is required to define the Type of Emulsion. As to claim 26, Applicant is required to define A, R, B, r, and any additional variables as required for a

Art Unit: 4121

particular species (a species for example is methyl, NOT alkyl). As to claim 27, Applicant is required to define A', R', B' and any additional variables as required for a particular species. As to claim 27, Applicant is required to define R<sup>1</sup>, B", n, A", R<sup>2</sup> and any additional variables as required for a particular species. As to Other chain limiting monomer, Applicant is required to define a specific monomer. Upon Applicant's election of species, the result must provide a single chemical species. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

3. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner: Type of Emulsion refers to claims 21-24. Core compound with groups A, R, B & refer to claims 26-29. Core compound with groups A', R' & B' refers to claims 27-29. Core Monomer with groups R<sup>1</sup>, B" & n is recited by claims 27-29. Chain Limiting monomer with groups A" & R<sup>2</sup> is recited by claims 27-29. Other Chain Limiting monomer is recited by claim 29. The following claim(s) are generic: claims 18-20 & 30-34.

Page 4

Art Unit: 4121

4. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Specie a lacks a special technical feature in view of Tomalia et al. (US2002/0045714) (Paragraph [0057]). An emulsion is made using a dendrimer as the emulsifying polymer. Species b-f lack a special technical feature in view of Forsstrom-Olsson et al. (US2003/0070926)(Paragraph [0043] and Figure 5). The monomers disclosed for use in a dendrimer will read on compounds disclosed in the instant application.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LYNDSEY SHAAK whose telephone number is (571)270-7676. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 8:00 am to 5:00 pm EST.

Application/Control Number: 10/573,846 Page 6

Art Unit: 4121

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Nolan can be reached on 571-272-0847. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**LMS** 

/Patrick J. Nolan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4121